Register of Development Applications Approved with a Variation to a Development Standard January – December 2016

Development Application No:	2016/240
Applicant's Name & Address:	Ardill Payne & Partners
	PO Box 20
	BALLINA NSW 2478
Determined by:	Delegated Authority
(under Delegated Authority – s.378 of LG Act 1993 /DAP/Council/JRPP/NSW DoPE)	
Subject Land:	Lot 457 DP 1220590, Deadmans Creek Road CUMBALUM
Description of Proposed Development:	To Undertake a Torrens Title Subdivision in Two Stages, Comprising 26 Residential Lots and One Residue Lot, Earthworks, Road Construction, Infrastructure Provision and Associated Works
Does the Proposal involve a variation to a Development Standard?	Yes
Category of Development	13 Subdivision Only
Environmental Planning Instrument	Ballina Local Environmental Plan 1987
Zoning of Land	7(I) – Environmental Protection (Habitat) zone
Development Standard to be Varied	40ha minimum lot size
Justification of Variation	The residue lot contains a portion of 7(I) zoned land, which is already below the 40ha minimum lot size. The total area of 7(I) zoned land (830m²) will not change as a result of the proposed subdivision.
Extent of Variation	99%
Concurring Authority	Director General Department of Planning and Environment
Date DA determined	25 October 2016

Development Application No:	2016/123
Applicant's Name & Address:	B & P Surveys
	10 Nerang Street
	NERANG QLD 4211
Determined by:	Delegated Authority
(under Delegated Authority – s.378 of LG Act 1993 /DAP/Council/JRPP/NSW DoP)	
Subject Land:	Lot 265 DP 1212348, Lot 1 DP 1184436, Lot 1 DP 184117, The Coast Road SKENNARS HEAD, 305 The Coast Road SKENNARS HEAD
Description of Proposed Development:	Boundary adjustment subdivision of three existing lots to create 1 x 48ha, 1 x 33ha and 1 x 18ha allotments
Does the Proposal involve a variation to a Development Standard?	Yes
Category of Development	13: Subdivision Only
Environmental Planning Instrument	Ballina Local Environmental Plan 1987
Zoning of Land under LEP 1987	Proposed Lot 5:
	 7(a) Environmental Protection (Wetlands) 1(b) Rural (Secondary Agricultural Land) 1(d) Rural (Urban Investigation)
	Proposed Lot 6:
	7(d) Environmental Protection (Scenic/Escarpment)
	Proposed Lot 7:
	 7(d) Environmental Protection (Scenic/Escarpment) 7(f) Environmental Protection (Coastal Lands)
Development Standard to be Varied	Clause 11(2)(b) - minimum lot size 40ha
Justification of Variation	 The proposal does not create additional lots or additional opportunities for dwellings The proposal does not further fragment rural land There is no public benefit in maintaining the development standard in this instance as to do so would prevent the rationalisation of the lot configuration and may affect the future development of the residential zoned land.

Extent of Variation	Proposed Lot 5:
	 7(a) Environmental Protection (Wetlands) = 14% 1(b) Rural (Secondary Agricultural Land) = 95% 1(d) Rural (Urban Investigation) = 94%
	Proposed Lot 6:
	• 7(d) Environmental Protection (Scenic/Escarpment) = 86%.
	Proposed Lot 7:
	 7(d) Environmental Protection (Scenic/Escarpment) = 94% 7(f) Environmental Protection (Coastal Lands) = 83%
Concurring Authority	Concurrence was issued for the proposed SEPP 1 variation
	by the Department of Planning and Environment on 12 August 2016.
Date DA determined	6 September 2016

Development Application No:	2002/566
Modification Type	96(1A)
i.e. 96(1), 96(1A), 96(2) or 96AA	
Modification No.	7
(e.g. Modification No. 1, 2, or 3 etc)	
Applicant's Name & Address:	Ardill Payne & Partners
	PO Box 20
	BALLINA NSW 2478
Determined by:	Delegated Authority
(under Delegated Authority – s.378 of LG Act 1993 /DAP)	
Subject Land:	Lot 14 DP 1156992, 71 Tamarind Drive BALLINA
Description of Approved Development:	To carry out an urban subdivision creating a residential estate including associated open space areas.
Description of Proposed Modification:	Alter lot layout, road configuration (six additional lots) and method of stormwater disposal.
Does the Proposal involve a variation to a Development Standard?	Yes
Category of Development	13: Subdivision Only
Environmental Planning Instrument	Ballina LEP 2012
Zoning of Land	R3 Medium Density Residential
Development Standard to be Varied	Clause 4.1 - 600m ² minimum lot size.
Justification of Variation	The proposed modification reduces the number of non-compliant allotments from 22 to 13.
Extent of Variation	13 of the proposed allotments have an area of less than 600m ² . The extent of variation is 1-10%.
Concurring Authority	Council (under assumed concurrence of the Director General of the Department of Planning and Environment)
Date DA determined	18 July 2016

Development Application No:	2015/468
Applicant's Name & Address:	Ardill Payne & Partners
	PO Box 20
	BALLINA NSW 2478
Determined by:	Delegated Authority
(under Delegated Authority – s.378 of LG Act 1993 /DAP/Council/JRPP/NSW DoP)	
Subject Land:	Lot 425 DP1219233, Ballina Heights Drive, CUMBALUM
	Lot 409 DP 1202454, Deadmans Creek Road, CUMBALUM
Description of Proposed	To undertake a Torrens Title Subdivision to create 179
Development:	Residential Lots, Two Residue Lots and associated Infrastructure Works
Does the Proposal involve a	Yes
variation to a Development Standard?	
Category of Development	13 – Subdivision Only
Environmental Planning Instrument	Ballina Local Environmental Plan 1987
Zoning of Land	7(I) – Environmental Protection (Habitat) zone
Development Standard to be Varied	40ha minimum lot size
Justification of Variation	The residue lots each contain a portion of 7(I) zoned land, both of which are already below the 40ha minimum lot size. The total area of 7(I) zoned land (approx. 5.4ha – proposed Lot 180 contains 830m² and proposed Lot 181 contains 5.32ha) will not change as a result of the proposed subdivision.
Extent of Variation	Approximately 86.5%
Concurring Authority	Director General Department of Planning and Environment
Date DA determined	24 June 2016

Development Application No:	2016/93
Applicant's Name & Address:	Planners North
	PO Box 538
	LENNOX HEAD NSW 2478
Determined by:	Council Approved
(under Delegated Authority – s.378 of LG Act 1993 /DAP/Council/JRPP/NSW DoP)	
Subject Land:	Lot 11 DP 1011575, 565-589 River Street, Ballina
Description of Proposed Development:	To change the layout and built form of the approved highway service centre (DA 2010/962) and associated civil infrastructure.
Does the Proposal involve a variation to a Development Standard?	Yes
Category of Development	8 – Commercial Premises
Environmental Planning Instrument	Ballina Local Environmental Plan 2012
Zoning of Land	RU2 – Rural Landscape BLEP 2012 2(a) Living Area
Development Standard to be Varied	Height
Justification of Variation	The proponent has stated that for Building A the roof above the top plate is subject to the provisions of Clause 5.6 of BLEP12. Council is empowered to approve the architectural roof features above the conforming top plate level because the Building A roof form: • is a deliberate architecture roof feature • comprise decorative elements on the upper portion of the building • is not advertising structures • does not contain floor space which is reasonable capable of modification to include additional floor space • will not cause overshadowing impacts on adjoining property owners or adversely affect amenity • signage, plant and equipment is fully integrated into the Building A roof feature. In consideration of Clause 5.6 of BLEP 2012, the architectural roof feature which projects above the maximum height control is supported.

Extent of Variation	25%
Concurring Authority	Council (under assumed concurrence of the Director General of the Department of Planning and Environment)
Date DA determined	26 May 2016

Development Application No:	2015/351
Applicant's Name & Address:	Newton Denny Chapelle
	PO Box 1138
	LISMORE NSW 2480
Determined by:	Delegated Authority
(under Delegated Authority – s.378 of LG Act 1993 /DAP/Council/JRPP/NSW DoP)	
Subject Land:	Lot: 6 DP: 1161720, Lot: 98 DP: 1197735, Lot: 16 DP: 1204621, 93 Rifle Range Road WOLLONGBAR, Plateau Drive WOLLONGBAR
Description of Proposed Development:	To undertake a Torrens Title Subdivision comprising 22 x Residential Lots, Two x Drainage Lots, Two x Residue Lots, associated Infrastructure Works, Earthworks and Vegetation Management Works
Does the Proposal involve a variation to a Development Standard?	Yes
Category of Development	13 – Subdivision only
Environmental Planning Instrument	BLEP 1987
Zoning of Land	7(d) – Environmental Protection (Scenic/Escarpment) Zone
Development Standard to be Varied	40ha minimum lot size
Justification of Variation	7(d) zoned land within subject allotment is already below the minimum lot size. The area of 7(d) zoned land will not change as a result of the proposal.
Extent of Variation	85% variation
Concurring Authority	Department of Planning and Environment
Date DA determined	18 March 2016

Development Application No.:	2015/098
	Ardill Payne & Partners
Applicant's Name & Address:	PO Box 20
	BALLINA NSW 2478
Determined by:	Delegated Authority
(under Delegated Authority – s.378 of LG Act 1993 /DAP/Council/JRPP/NSW DoP)	
Subject Land:	Lot: 5 DP: 38190, 17 Hill Street EAST BALLINA
Proposed Development	Proposed dwelling as depicted in plans prepared by Arthur Colledge Project RVT 3908 dated 25.2.2015
	Yes –
	Applicant requests an exception to BLEP Clause 4.3 relating to building height and 4.4 relating to floor space ratio.
	Note – this development standard variation was approved by Council at it's Ordinary Meeting of 28.1.2016
	Clause 4.3 Height of Buildings
Does the Proposal involve a variation to a Development Standard?	Highest part of proposed dwelling is 12.98 metres above ground level being 31.916m AHD however the dwelling is generally compliant with Council's maximum height of 8.5m. Part of the roof and walls are point encroachments.
	Clause 4.6 of the Ballina Local Environmental Plan 2012 allows Exceptions to Development Standards, and the applicant has requested Exception to the 8.5m Height requirements of Clause 4.3.
	Objectives of the Height requirement are:
	 Ensure that the height of the building is compatible with the bulk, scale and character of the locality; Minimise adverse impacts on existing future amenity of adjoining properties and the scenic or landscape quality of the area; Protect significant views from public places.
	This side of Hill street is a particularly problematic area for building dwellings due to the severe slope of the ground as it falls away towards Shaws Bay. Typical building design is the appearance of a single storey at the street

level with other storeys below as the land falls away.

The spectacular views and value of the land attract persons wishing to construct substantial buildings on modest sized blocks (600 sq metres). The applicant has stepped the building down the hill and in some parts it is three storeys in height which contravenes the prescriptive controls of DCP 4. These will be addressed later in the assessment.

Further to the objectives of Clause 4.3 for the height requirement, the proposed building, while being greater in bulk and scale than some of the modest older adjoining buildings, will be compatible with the bulk, scale and character of the locality as other buildings are of a similar design and this has a single storey fronting Hill Street.

The scenic or landscape quality of the area will not be affected as these are the final two vacant blocks and any redevelopment of other blocks would be expected to be of similar scale. The scenic quality of the area has already been determined by the existing buildings.

Significant views from public places will be protected as per reasonable expectation as the building is being kept to single storey at the street level.

The development is permissible within the R2 zone and the 8.5m height limit is not considered practical for such steep sloping blocks. It is noted that other buildings are over 8.5 metres in height and have a rise of three storeys.

Neighbour notification resulted in one objection which will be the subject of a DAP Report. It is of course noted that the owner of the adjoining vacant block at No.15 has a DA for a similar building before Council.

In conclusion the Height requirement of Clause 4.3 is not appropriate for this terrain and the proposal will be typical of development along Hill Street (see attached photos)

Clause 4.4 Floor Space Ratio

	Objectives of this Clause are the same as Objectives 1 and 2 under the Height of Buildings Clause.
	Note: This variation to the Development Standard was approved by Council at it's Ordinary Meeting of 28.1.2016
	The floor is 47 square metres over the required floor area of 300 square metres. The utilisation of the lower level as a craft room being 54 square metres pushes the building over the compliant area. On such a steep block with no useable yard area it is understandable to maximise available areas and a subfloor area with habitable floor to ceiling dimensions lends itself to such use.
	The Objectives 1 and 2 are still achieved by the extra floor area.
Category of Development	Dwelling
Environmental Planning Instrument	BLEP 2012
Zoning of land under the BLEP 2012	R2 Low Density Residential
Development Standard varied	Clause 4.3 – Height of Buildings
	Clause 4.4 – Floor Space Ratio
Justification of the variation	See above
Extent of the variation	Height of Buildings - Part encroachment to a maximum height of 12.98 metres
	Floor Space Ratio – Encroachment of 47 square metres over the allowable 300 square metres. (0.57:1 instead of 0.5:1)
Concurring Authority	Council under assumed Concurrence
Date DA determined	7 March 2016

Development Application No:	2015/211
Applicant's Name & Address:	Northern Rivers Surveying Pty Ltd
	76 Tamar Street
	BALLINA NSW 2478
Determined by:	Delegated Authority
(under Delegated Authority – s.378 of LG Act 1993	
/DAP/Council/JRPP/NSW DoP)	
Subject Land:	Lot 3 DP 587200, Lot 2 DP 622859, No.'s 94 and 98 Rishworths Lane BROOKLET
Description of Proposed Development:	 To undertake a staged development comprising: A subdivision by way of a boundary adjustment to create 1 x 58.88ha allotment (Proposed Lot 1) and 1 x 41.29ha allotment (Proposed Lot 2); and The subsequent Three Lot Rural Subdivision of Proposed Lot 1 to create 1 x 18.88ha allotment (Proposed Lot 101) and 2 x 20ha allotments (Proposed Lots 102 and 103) and a change of use of the existing rural workers dwelling on existing Lot 2 DP 622859 to a dwelling house for Proposed Lot 102.
Does the Proposal involve a variation to a Development Standard?	Yes
Category of Development	13 – Subdivision only
Environmental Planning Instrument	Ballina Local Environmental Plan 2012
Zoning of Land	RU1 – Primary Production
Development Standard to be Varied	20ha minimum lot size
Justification of Variation	The one allotment that is below the 20ha minimum lot size is still agriculturally viable, with an area of 18.88ha.
Extent of Variation	5.6%
Concurring Authority	Council under assumed concurrence
Date DA determined	19 February 2016

Development Application No.	2014/373
	C Longergan
Applicant's Name & Address	PO Box 2585
	BYRON BAY NSW 2481
Determined by:	Delegated Authority
(under Delegated Authority – s.378 of LG Act 1993 /DAP/Council/JRPP/NSW DoP)	
Subject Land:	Lot: 4 DP: 38190, 15 Hill Street EAST BALLINA
Proposed Development	Proposed new principal dwelling and secondary dwelling as depicted in plans prepared by design revolutions dated 20 October 2015
	Yes – Development Standard was varied by Council Resolution from Ordinary Meeting of 28.1.2016.
	Below is a summary of height variation.
Does the Proposal involve a variation to a Development	Highest part of proposed dwelling is 11 metres above ground level being 29.08 AHD, however the main part of the dwelling is compliant with Council's maximum height of 8.5m. Part of the roof, walls and a privacy screen are point encroachments to 11 metres but only for a total length of 2.5 metres.
	Clause 4.6 of the Ballina Local Environmental Plan 2012 allows Exceptions to Development Standards, and the applicant has requested Exception to the 8.5m Height requirements of Clause 4.3.
Standard:	Objectives of the Height requirement are:
	 Ensure that the height of the building is compatible with the bulk, scale and character of the locality; Minimise adverse impacts on existing future amenity of adjoining properties and the scenic or landscape quality of the area; Protect significant views from public places.
	This side of Hill street is a particularly problematic area for building dwellings due to the severe slope of the ground as it falls away towards Shaws Bay. Typical building design is the appearance of a single storey at the street level with other storeys below as the land falls away.
	The spectacular views and value of the land attract persons wishing to construct substantial buildings. The

applicant has stepped the building down the hill and in some parts it is three storeys in height which contravenes the prescriptive controls of DCP 4. These will be addressed later in the assessment. Further to the objectives of Clause 4.3 for the height requirement, the proposed building, while being greater in bulk and scale than some of the modest older adjoining buildings, will be compatible with the bulk, scale and character of the locality. The scenic or landscape quality of the area will not be affected as these are the final two vacant blocks and any redevelopment of other blocks would be expected to be of similar scale. The scenic quality of the area has already been determined by the existing buildings. Significant views from public places will be protected as per reasonable expectation as the building is being kept to single storey at the street level. The development is permissible within the R2 zone and the 8.5m height limit is not considered practical for such steep sloping blocks. It is noted that other buildings are over 8.5 metres in height and have a rise of three storeys. Neighbour notification did not result in any objections. It is of course noted that the owner of the adjoining vacant block at No.17 has a DA for a similar building before Council. In conclusion the Height requirement of Clause 4.3 is not appropriate for this terrain and the proposal will be typical of development along Hill Street (see attached photos) **Category of Development** Dwelling with a secondary dwelling **Environmental Planning BLEP 2012** Instrument R2 Low Density Residential Zoning of land under the

BLEP 2012	
Development Standard to be varied	Clause 4.3 – Height of Buildings
Justification of the variation	See above
Extent of the variation	Part encroachment to a maximum height of 11 metres
Concurring Authority	Council under assumed concurrence
Date DA determined	12 February 2016

Development Application No:	2015/211
Applicant's Name & Address:	Northern Rivers Surveying Pty Ltd
	76 Tamar Street
	BALLINA NSW 2478
Determined by:	Delegated Authority
(under Delegated Authority – s.378 of LG Act 1993 /DAP/Council/JRPP/NSW DoP)	
Subject Land:	Lot 3 DP 587200, Lot 2 DP 622859, No.'s 94 and 98 Rishworths Lane BROOKLET
Description of Proposed Development:	 To undertake a staged development comprising: 3. A subdivision by way of a boundary adjustment to create 1 x 58.88ha allotment (Proposed Lot 1) and 1 x 41.29ha allotment (Proposed Lot 2); and 4. The subsequent Three Lot Rural Subdivision of Proposed Lot 1 to create 1 x 18.88ha allotment (Proposed Lot 101) and 2 x 20ha allotments (Proposed Lots 102 and 103) and a change of use of the existing rural workers dwelling on existing Lot 2 DP 622859 to a dwelling house for Proposed Lot 102.
Does the Proposal involve a variation to a Development Standard?	Yes
Category of Development	13 – Subdivision only
Environmental Planning Instrument	Ballina Local Environmental Plan 2012
Zoning of Land	RU1 – Primary Production
Development Standard to be Varied	20ha minimum lot size
Justification of Variation	The one allotment that is below the 20ha minimum lot size is still agriculturally viable, with an area of 18.88ha.
Extent of Variation	5.6%
Concurring Authority	Council under assumed concurrence
Date DA determined	19 February 2016