

# Planning Proposal 17/011

# » Housekeeping Amendment 2017





40 cherry street • po box 450 • ballina nsw 2478 t 02 6686 4444 • f 02 6686 7035 • e council@ballina.nsw.gov.au

ballina.nsw.gov.au

DISCLAIMER © Land & Property Information 2017. Although all care is taken in the preparation of plans within this document, Ballina Shire Council accepts no responsibility for any misprints, errors, omissions or inaccuracies. The information contained within this plan is for pictorial representation only. Do not scale. Accurate measurements should be undertaken by survey.

### **Contents**

1.	Introduction			
	1.1	Summary of Planning Proposal	4	
	1.2	Land to Which the Planning Proposal Applies	4	
	1.3	Council Resolutions	4	
	1.4	Gateway Determination	4	
2.	Ob	ojectives & Intended Outcomes	5	
3.	Explanation of Provisions			
	3.1	The Proposal	6	
	3.2	Mapping Overview	8	
4.	Ju	Justification		
	4.1	Section A – Need for the Planning Proposal	15	
	4.2	Section B – Relationship to Strategic Planning Framework	15	
	4.3	Section C – Environmental, Social and Economic Impact	15	
	4.4	Section D – State and Commonwealth Interests	16	
5.	Ма	apping	16	
6.	Co	ommunity Consultation	16	
7.	Tir	meline	17	
Appendix 1 – Gateway Determination				
Apr	endix	3 – Section 117 Direction Checklist	21	

### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1 Summary of Planning Proposal

This planning proposal incorporates a number of 'housekeeping' amendments to the Ballina Local Environmental Plan 2012. These amendments primarily relate to adjustments to align zoning and other attribute mapping to cadastre as a result of updates to the cadastre, either through availability of improved information or as a result of subdivision of land. Changes are also improved to address minor mapping errors or to improve the interpretation of the LEP.

These amendments have been identified as part of an ongoing LEP review program undertaken by Council.

#### 1.2 Land to Which the Planning Proposal Applies

This planning proposal applies to a variety of land parcels as shown in the mapping contained in Section 3.

#### 1.3 Council Resolutions

To be completed following Council decision to initiate the amendment.

#### 1.4 Gateway Determination

To be completed following the Gateway determination. Include in appendix 1.

## 2. Objectives & Intended Outcomes

The objectives of this planning proposal are to:

- Adjust land use zoning and other attributes as relevant to align mapping attributes to changes in the cadastre that underpins the LEP mapping;
- Correct minor mapping errors relating to land use zoning, minimum lot size standards, height of buildings and strategic urban growth area mapping; and
- Clarify that clause 4.2A enables the replacement of a dwelling house that has previously been lawfully erected on land whether or not the dwelling is located on the land at the time of a development application.

# 3. Explanation of Provisions

#### 3.1 The Proposal

This planning proposal seeks to amend the Ballina Local Environmental Plan as outlined in Table 1. Table 1 also includes the rationale for the proposed change.

Mapping showing the location of the proposed amendments is set out in Section 3.2. A full map set showing existing and proposed mapping for each of the affected map attributes is contained in Appendix 2.

Table 1 BSCPP 17/011 Amendment Summary

ı aı	Table 1 BSCPP 17/011 Amendment Summary					
Amendment		Amendment Summary	Primary Reason			
1	Clause 4.2A – Erection of dwelling houses and dual occupancies (attached) on land in certain rural zones	The proposal is to change wording in the clause to allow replacement of a dwelling house if there is or has been a lawfully erected dwelling house on the land.	To clarify clause 4.2A to enable replacement of a lawfully erected dwelling house on land in cases where a dwelling has been destroyed and/or is otherwise not currently located on the land.			
2	River Street, West Ballina (SGA)	Adjust area affected by Strategic Urban Growth Area mapping to remove SUGA designation from land identified as a deferred matter.	Correct a minor mapping error.			
3	Emigrant Creek (LZN, LSZ)	Adjust mapping to align attributes with cadastre. Involves alignment of RU1, RU2 and W1 zones and nil and 40ha lot size standards.	Reflect updates to cadastral boundaries in Council's mapping system.			
4	Pimlico Road, Pimlico (LAP, LZN LSZ, ASS, FLD, BHA, HOB)	Adjust mapping to align attributes with cadastre. Involves adjustment to include land within BLEP 2012 and align RU1 zone, 40ha lot size standard, 8.5m building height and flooding, height allowance and acid sulfate soils attributes.	Reflect updates to cadastral boundaries in Council's mapping system.			
5	Tara Downs, Lennox Head (LAP, LZN, LSZ)	Adjust mapping to align attributes with updates to cadastre arising from subdivision of land. Involves adjustment to remove land from within BLEP 2012 and alignment of RU1 and R2 zones and 40ha and 800m² minimum lot size standards.	Reflect updates to cadastral boundaries in Council's mapping system following subdivision of land.			
6	Blue Seas Parade, Lennox Head (LZN, LSZ)	Adjust mapping to apply R2 zone and 1200m <sup>2</sup> minimum lot size to land.	Amendment No.30 to the LEP was completed with a mapping error that means the full extent of the rezoning is not currently reflected in the LEP. This amendment corrects the mapping error.#			

Amendment		Amendment Summary	Primary Reason
7	Blue Seas Parade, Lennox Head (HOB)	Adjust mapping to apply an 8.5m building height standard to the Blue Seas Parade road reserve.	Correct a minor mapping error.
8	North Creek Road, Lennox Head (LZN, HER)	Adjust mapping to align attributes with updates to cadastre arising from subdivision of land. Involves alignment of R2 and R3 zones and heritage mapping for item I71.	Reflect updates to cadastral boundaries in Council's mapping system following subdivision of land.
9	Hutley Drive South, Lennox Head (LAP, LZN, LSZ, ASS, HOB)	Adjust mapping to align attributes with updates to cadastre arising from subdivision of land. Involves adjustment to include land within BLEP 2012 and align R2 zone, 600m² lot size standard and 8.5m height of buildings standard with western boundary of Hutley Drive South.	Reflect updates to cadastral boundaries in Council's mapping system following subdivision of land.
10	Castle Drive, Lennox Head (LSZ)	Apply nil minimum lot standard to area of open space consistent with minimum lot size standard applied to public open space.	Correct a minor mapping error.
11	Skinner Street, Ballina (LZN, LSZ)	Adjust zoning and lot size mapping to apply R2 zone and 1200m <sup>2</sup> minimum lot size to align with location of approved dwelling house at 2 Skinner Street, Ballina.	Reflect location of existing approved dwelling house.
12	Ballina Heights – Liffey Avenue, Cumbalum (LZN and LSZ)	Adjust mapping to align attributes with updates to cadastre arising from subdivision of land. Involves adjustments to align RE1, R2 and R3 zones and nil, 600m <sup>2</sup> and 800m <sup>2</sup> lot size standards.	Reflect updates to cadastral boundaries in Council's mapping system following subdivision of land.
13	Ballina Heights – Chilcott Avenue, Cumbalum (LZN and LSZ)	Adjust mapping to align attributes with updates to cadastre arising from subdivision of land. Involves adjustments to align RE1, R2 and R3 zones and nil, 600m² and 800m² lot size standards.	Reflect updates to cadastral boundaries in Council's mapping system following subdivision of land.
14	Richmond Street, Wardell (LZN and LSZ)	Adjust mapping to align attributes with updates to cadastre. Involves adjustments to align R2 and R3 zones and 600m² and 800m² lot size standards.	Reflect updates to cadastral boundaries in Council's mapping system.
15	Newports Lane, Uralba (LAP, LZN, LSZ, HOB)	Adjust mapping to align attributes with updates to cadastre. Involves adjustment to include land within BLEP 2012 and align RU1 zone, 40ha lot size standard and 8.5m height of buildings standard.	Reflect updates to cadastral boundaries in Council's mapping system.


<sup>\*</sup> Clause 4.2A is subject to Amendment No.34 to the BLEP 2012 in relation to detached dual occupancy. Once Amendment 34 is complete, reference to this clause to include detached dual occupancy will be amended (this planning proposal has no impact on the intent of Amendment 34).

### 3.2 Mapping Overview

The proposal will require changes to a number of map sheets for various mapping attributes. Current and proposed mapping reflecting the amendments set out in Table 1 are contained in Appendix 2.


The areas subject to mapping amendments are shown below (current mapping left and proposed mapping right). Changes to the zone map attributes are shown for each site unless the zoning is not affected. In such cases, an alternative attribute is shown (and noted).


### 2. River Street, West Ballina (Strategic Urban Growth Area Map)





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup> Amendment No.30 included a Council decision to apply a 30m separation between the southern extent of residential development applied under the rezoning and cattle yards on the adjoining property. The mapping published as part of the amendment applies a 50m separation in error.

### 3. Emigrant Creek













### 4. Pimlico Road, Pimlico






### 5. Tara Downs, Lennox Head






### 6. Blue Seas Parade, Lennox Head



### 7. Blue Seas Parade, Lennox Head (Height of Buildings Map)




### 8. North Creek Road, Lennox Head






### 9. Hutley Drive South, Lennox Head







### 10. Castle Drive, Lennox Head (Minimum Lot Size Map)







### 11. Skinner Street, Ballina






### 12. Ballina Heights – Liffey Avenue, Cumbalum







### 13. Ballina Heights – Chilcott Avenue, Cumbalum







### 14. Richmond Street, Wardell





### 15. Newports Lane, Uralba





### 4. Justification

#### 4.1 Section A – Need for the Planning Proposal

#### Q1 Is the planning proposal a result of any strategic study or report?

No. The amendments are housekeeping changes as a result of a general review of the function and operation of the LEP.

### Q2 Is the planning proposal the best means of achieving the objectives or intended outcomes, or is there a better way?

An LEP amendment is the best way of achieving the proposed outcomes as the proposal directly relates to the mapping of attributes and wording within clause 4.2A.

### 4.2 Section B – Relationship to Strategic Planning Framework

# Q3 Is the planning proposal consistent with the objectives and actions of the applicable regional, sub-regional or district plan or strategy (including any exhibited draft plans or strategies)?

The planning proposal is generally consistent with the objectives and actions set out in the North Coast Regional Plan as they seek to ensure efficient operation of the Ballina LEP 2012.

### Q4 Is the planning proposal consistent with a council's local strategy or other local strategic plan?

The planning proposal is consistent with the elements and specified outcomes contained within Council's Community Strategic Plan 2017 – 2027 (CSP) as its objectives align with the principles of good governance and ensuring that planning instruments are operating optimally.

### Q5 Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable State Environmental Planning Policies?

No inconsistencies with applicable State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) have been identified. The amendments constitute housekeeping changes and as such, a detailed assessment relative to each SEPP is not included in this planning proposal.

### Q6 Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions (s.117 directions)?

A Section 117 Direction checklist for the planning proposal is provided at Appendix 3.

#### 4.3 Section C – Environmental, Social and Economic Impact

# Q7 Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, population or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?

No. The amendments proposed are housekeeping amendments of a minor nature that reflect existing intended planning outcomes for the affected land.

### Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?

No. The amendments proposed are housekeeping amendments of a minor nature that reflect existing intended planning outcomes for the affected land.

### Q9 Has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?

There are no significant social or economic outcomes likely to result from the planning proposal as the amendments are housekeeping changes of a minor nature that reflect existing intended planning outcomes for the affected land.

An overall benefit associated with efficiency in the implementation of the plan is expected.

#### 4.4 Section D – State and Commonwealth Interests

#### Q10 Is there adequate public infrastructure for the planning proposal?

The amendments proposed are housekeeping amendments of a minor nature that reflect existing intended planning outcomes for the affected land. Adequate provision for infrastructure is in place with respect to the affected land.

### Q11 What are the views of state and Commonwealth public authorities consulted in accordance with the Gateway determination?

Consultation will be undertaken with relevant agencies as required by the Gateway determination during the public exhibition stage of the LEP amendment.

## 5. Mapping

A map set showing the existing and proposed mapping for each site and attribute is contained in Attachment 2.

## 6. Community Consultation

This proposal will be exhibited in accordance with the Gateway determination and the terms of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act* 1979.

## 7. Timeline

The proposed timeline for completion of the planning proposal is as follows:

Plan Making Step	Estimated Completion (Before)
Gateway Determination	December 2017
Government Agency Consultation	January 2018
Public Exhibition Period	February 2018
Public Hearing	N/A
Submissions Assessment	March 2018
RPA Assessment of Planning Proposal and Exhibition Outcomes	March 2018
Submission of Endorsed LEP to DP&I for Finalisation	N/A
RPA Decision to Make the LEP Amendment (if delegated)	April 2018
Forwarding of LEP Amendment to DP&I for Notification (if delegated)	May 2018


Council is seeking delegation of planning functions for the processing of this LEP amendment.


# **Appendices**


## Appendix 1 – Gateway Determination


To be inserted following Gateway determination


# Appendix 2 – Maps

















































































































































































































## Appendix 3 – Section 117 Direction Checklist

Section 117 Direction Checklist Planning Proposal – Housekeeping Amendment 2017		
Direction No.	Compliance of Planning Proposal	
1. Employment and Resources		
1.1 Business and Industrial Zones	Does not apply to planning proposal.	
1.2 Rural Zones	Justifiably inconsistent.	
	The housekeeping amendments include instances where rural zones are to be changed to urban zones to align zones with cadastre and existing planned outcomes. These changes are a result of changes in cadastre or correction of minor mapping errors.	
1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries	Does not apply to planning proposal.	
1.4 Oyster Aquaculture	Does not apply to planning proposal.	
1.5 Rural Land	Justifiably inconsistent.	
	The housekeeping amendments include instances where rural zones are to be changed to align zones with cadastre and existing planned outcomes. These changes are a result of changes in cadastre or correction of minor mapping errors.	
2. Environment and Heritage		
2.1 Environment Protection Zones	Consistent.	
	The planning proposal does not involve the zoning of land identified as being of environmental significance as changes of zoning are to align zoning to match existing planned outcomes for the areas affected. The amendments are a result of changes in cadastre or correction of minor mapping errors.	
2.2 Coastal Protection	Justifiably inconsistent.	
	The housekeeping amendments include instances where land use zones and other planning attributes within the coastal zone are to be changed to align the attributes with cadastre and existing planned outcomes. These changes are a result of changes in cadastre or correction of minor mapping errors.	
2.3 Heritage Conservation	Consistent.	
	The planning proposal includes one amendment to align heritage mapping with updated cadastral information. No other heritage items are affected by the amendments.	
2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas	Consistent.	
	The planning proposal does not involve the development of land for the purpose of a recreation vehicle area.	
2.5 Application of E2 and E3 Zones and Environmental Overlays in Far North Coast LEPs	Does not apply to planning proposal.	
3. Housing, Infrastructure and Urban Development		
3.1 Residential Zones	Justifiably inconsistent.	
	The housekeeping amendments include instances where residential zones are to be changed to align zones with cadastre and existing planned outcomes. These changes are a result of changes in cadastre or correction of minor mapping errors.	
3.2 Caravan Parks and	Consistent.	
Manufactured Home Estates	This proposal will not result in any reduction in the existing availability of land for caravan parks or manufactured home estates.	

Section 117 Direction Checklist Planning Proposal – Housekeeping Amendment 2017	
Direction No.	Compliance of Planning Proposal
3.3 Home Occupations	Consistent.  The proposal will not affect any existing permissibility or exemptions for home occupations.
3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport	Consistent.  This proposal will not result in any negative impacts on accessibility or transport movements.
3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes	Justifiably Inconsistent.  The housekeeping amendments include instances where planning provisions applying to land in the vicinity of the Ballina Byron gateway Airport are to be changed to align zones with cadastre or existing planned outcomes. These changes are a result of changes in cadastre or correction of minor mapping errors.
3.6 Shooting Ranges	Does not apply to planning proposal.
4. Hazard and Risk	
4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils	Consistent.  The planning proposal provides for the application of acid sulfate soil mapping and associated planning provisions for land to be incorporated into the BLEP 2012 where acid sulfate soils have been mapped in areas currently deferred from the 2012 LEP.
4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land	Does not apply to planning proposal.
4.3 Flood Prone Land	Consistent.  The planning proposal provides for the application of flood planning mapping and associated planning provisions for land to be incorporated into the BLEP 2012 where flood affected land has been mapped in areas currently deferred from the 2012 LEP.
4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection	Justifiably Inconsistent.  Some of the subject land is mapped as bush fire prone land.  The NSW RFS will be consulted as part of the planning proposal.
5. Regional Planning	
5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies	Consistent.  The planning proposal is generally consistent with the North Coast Regional Plan 2036.
5.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments	Does not apply to Ballina Shire.
5.3 Farmland of State and Regional Significance on the NSW Far North Coast	Justifiably Inconsistent.  The amendments relating to land identified as State or regionally significant farmland are minor in nature, being for the purpose of aligning zones with cadastre and existing planned outcomes. These are a result of changes in cadastre or correction of minor mapping errors.
5.4 Commercial and Retail Development along the Pacific Highway, North Coast	Does not apply to planning proposal.
5.5 Development in the vicinity of Ellalong Paxton and Millfield (Cessnock LGA).	Revoked.

Section 117 Direction Checklist Planning Proposal – Housekeeping Amendment 2017		
Direction No.	Compliance of Planning Proposal	
5.6 Sydney to Canberra Corridor (Revoked 10 July 2008. See amended Direction 5.1	Revoked.	
5.7 Central Coast (Revoked 10 July 2008. See amended Direction 5.1)	Revoked.	
5.8 Second Sydney Airport: Badgerys Creek	Does not apply to Ballina Shire.	
5.9 North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy	Does not apply to Ballina Shire.	
5.10 Implementation of Regional Plans	Consistent.	
	The planning proposal is generally consistent with the North Coast Regional Plan 2036.	
6. Local Plan Making		
6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements	Consistent.  The planning proposal does not introduce any new concurrence or consultation provisions or any additional designated development types.	
6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes	Consistent.  The planning proposal does not create, alter or reduce existing zonings or reservations of land reserved for public purposes that is not in Council ownership.	
6.3 Site Specific Provisions	Consistent. The planning proposal does not introduce any site specific provisions.	
7. Metropolitan Planning		
7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Strategy	Does not apply to Ballina Shire.	
7.2 Implementation of Greater Macarthur Land Release Investigation	Does not apply to Ballina Shire.	
7.3 Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy	Does not apply to Ballina Shire.	